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ABSTRACT

Despite the promising anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory effects of resveratrol (RES) on human health, pre-clinical and clinical studies are frequently disappointing, probably due to its low water-solubility and poor bioavailability. Even though a hormetic mode of action was clearly established for RES, the high doses commonly used to mitigate these issues, lead to adverse effects. Common hallmarks of multiple pathologies results from pathological-enhanced endothelial permeability due to both enhanced inflammation and matrix metalloprotease-9 (aMMP-9) activities. The main aim of this work was to optimize the RES capacity to inhibit aMMP-9 by using a new class of solvents, natural deep eutectic solvents (NADES) for a new RES formulation as compared with dimethyl-sulfoxide (DMSO). To obtain the appropriate NADES, 18 compounds combinations were prepared to select those exhibiting the optimized capacity to dissolve RES. The RES-NADES 1,2-propanediol:choline-chloride:water (PCW, 1:1:1 molar ratio) and compared with RES-DMSO for their aMMP-9-inhibitory capacities. Low concentrations of RES-NADES/PCW formulation exhibited both a biocompatible solubility and a strong increased aMMP-9-inhibitory activity, at least 10-fold, higher than RES-DMSO, reaching its hormetic mode of action. Following in vivo validations, some particular NADES could potentially be considered as the new generation of formulation for druggable compounds.

Practical Applications

Formulation of resveratrol in Natural Deep Eutectic solvents (NADES) optimizes its capacity to inhibit active matrix metalloprotease-9. The Resveratrol-NADES 1,2-propanediol:choline-was the most efficient and low concentrations exhibited both a biocompatible solubility and an increased aMMP-9-inhibitory activity, at least 10-fold, higher than RES-DMSO. Consequently, the NADES/PCW formulation allowed resveratrol to reach its hormetic mode of action. Following in vivo validations, some particular NADES could potentially be considered as the new generation of formulation for druggable compounds.
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ABBREVIATIONS

Natural deep eutectic solvent (NADES), Resveratrol (RES), NADES 1,2-propanediol:choline chloride:water (NADES/PCW), Matrix metalloproteinase (MMP), Active matrix metalloproteinase (aMMP), Tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC), Human monocyte cell line (THP-1), Human pancreatic adenocarcinoma cell line (BxPC3), Human brain microvascular endothelial primary-derived cell-line (hcMec/D3), Human breast adenocarcinoma cell-line (MDA MB-231).
1. INTRODUCTION

Numerous clinical studies have been performed with resveratrol (3,4′,5-trihydroxystilbene, RES), a plant polyphenol from the stilbenoid series (Fig. 1), to assess its capacity to promote beneficial effects on human health [5,18]. Indeed RES, is considered as a dietary anti-oxidant [16], able to decrease inflammatory processes, including the inhibition of the gelatinolytic metalloproteases (gMMP)-2 and 9 activities [26]. The activity increase of gMMP is strongly related to pathological enhancement of endothelial permeability is a common characteristic of several infectious and systemic diseases, including HIV-1 [23], dengue virus infection [17], Hantavirus cardiopulmonary syndrome [20] and cancer [33]. There are multiple MMP-9 upstream enhancers, such as pro-inflammatory cytokines (tumor necrosis factor-α, TNF-α), growth and transcriptional factors [40]. Despite the previous mentioned positive effects of RES in human health, its low-water solubility, mode of action, targets, route and dose of administration, as well as its low bioavailability, preclude its regular use as a therapeutic approach [3,27,29,37]. In order to overcome the poor water-solubility of RES, and to be able to observe measurable effects, some groups, using elevated RES doses (1 000 to 1 500 mg/person/day), did not get the positive effects observed in humans at low concentrations (150 mg/day) as reported by others [9,36] but, rather observed adverse effects [15,28]. For more than10 years, such a phenomenon has been assigned to hormetic effect of RES [6-8,21,31,32]. Some synonymous terms of hormesis have also been used, such as biphasic dose-response effect, adaptive response or preconditioning effects [6,22]. All these data advocate for the use of the lowest effective RES doses, in preclinical and/or clinical studies. Different formulations have been set up to reach the goal to solve both the RES solubility and bioavailability issues: micellar solutions with bile acids [2], carboxymethyl chitosan (CMCS) and RES-loaded CMCS nanoparticles (RES-CMCSNPs) [41], N-trimethyl chitosan (TMC) grafted by palmitic acid (TMC-g-PA) [30], cyclodextrin and co-encapsulation of cyclodextrin inclusions in liposomes [34]. Some of these nanotechnology-based formulations were able to successfully “enhance the RES clinical potential” [35].
For the first time in this field, this study is using a new class of solvents so called Natural Deep Eutectic Solvents (NADES), recently discovered by Choi et al. [10], to prepare new formulations of RES. NADES consist in a mixture, in specific molar ratios, of two or more plant-based metabolites, exhibiting strong capacity of self-association through non-covalent interactions, ionic interactions, hydrogen bonding, and van der Waals forces (lipophilic-lipophilic and π-π interactions), leading to a supramolecular assembly. The molecules forming such structures stay connected to each other through a well-organized three-dimensional system, acting as a new entity with properties mostly different from those of pure isolated components (melting point, solving power,…). One of the most important changes is the drastic lowering of melting point: NADES act like a pure compound (eutectic) and melt at very low temperature (deep). Unlike the very similar non-covalent derivatives such as ionic liquids (IL) and deep eutectic solvents (DES), the main components of NADES are all natural: sugars, amino acids, and organic acids. NADES are very “good solvents” due to the strong intermolecular interactions between NADES components. They are used in broad-spectrum applications, including cosmetics, media for enzymatic reactions [13,38], enhancers for extraction of bioactive natural products, and nucleic acids [11,19]. In addition, NADES have been shown to be good solvents for non-water-soluble small molecules (polyphenols), or even, macromolecules, (starch, cellulose, nucleic acids [11]).

From 18 compound combinations were used to prepare different NADES, we selected those exhibiting the highest RES solubilizing power and were used to formulate RES (RES-NADES). The best combination used as a RES formulation was compared with a RES DMSO-formulation (RES-DMSO), which is the most current solvent of medium polar substrates for *in vitro* studies. For the first time here, we show that RES was not only easily dissolved in a particular NADES, but also that this formulation exhibited at least a 10-fold higher aMMP-9 inhibitory effect as compared with the RES-DMSO formulation, making possible to lower RES doses and thus able to reach the hormetic effects conditions.
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Chemicals and Reagents

Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and MTT (3-[4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; Thiazolyl blue), as well as individual NADES components were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich™ (Marnes-la-Coquette, France), Choline chloride (ChCl) was dried under vacuum at 60°C over a silica gel for 72 h before use. TNF-α was purchased from PeproTech™ (Paris, France), and all other chemicals used in this study were highly purified molecular grade reagents (Sigma, Paris, France). RES was isolated from stalks of *Vitis vinifera*, Vitaceae, and highly-purified (98%) according to the process described by Delaunay and colleagues [12].

2.2 Preparation of natural deep eutectic solvents

A set of 18 natural deep eutectic solvents were prepared according to the procedure modified from Abbott [1]. Briefly, these NADES were prepared as follows: components 1, 2 and 3, from (Table 1), were directly weighed in a flask, avoiding any contact with the air moisture, to get a molar ratio as described in (Table 1). Finally, all mixtures to form a potential NADES were heated and stirred with an orbital shaker at 250 rpm and incubated at 60 °C until a clear solution was formed. Among these solvents, three solvents were able to solubilize RES (as visually assessed), NADES composed of: 1,2-propanediol:choline-chloride:water (1:1:1) (NADES/PCW), Glucose:ChCl:Water (1:2.5:2.5) (NADES/GCW), and Malic acid:ChCl (1:1) (NADES/MA). NADES/PCW was further selected based upon both its higher RES solubilizing capacity as well as its individual low cytotoxicity.

2.3 Determination of resveratrol solubility in NADES/PCW and DMSO

To assess the RES concentration by UPLC-UV analysis (Accela-Thermo scientific), a calibration curve was realized using standard samples of RES, diluted in water to respectively get final concentrations of 16, 8, 4, 2 and 1 μg/mL. The UPLC-UV analysis of RES was performed using an Xbridge BEH C18 2.5 μm column
(2.1x100 mm) and an Xbridge BEH C18 2.5 μm pre-column (2.1x5 mm). Solvents A (H₂O) and B (CH₃CN) were used according to the timetable in the following gradient: 80/20 from 0 to 3 min, 80/20 to 50/50 from 3 to 10 min, 50/50 to 80/20 from 10 to 11 min, all of them at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. The RES detection was performed at 310 nm. In order to determine the experimental working solubility of RES in both solvents NADES and DMSO, 20 mg of RES powder were dissolved in either 1 mL of NADES/PCW or 1 mL of DMSO and heated overnight at 40°C. Subsequently, these preparations were centrifuged for 5 min at 1500 rpm and supernatants were recovered. To prepare a theoretical RES concentration of 1 mg/mL, 10 μL of the respective recovered supernatant were diluted with 190 μL of methanol, from which a theoretical 10 μg/mL solution was realized in H₂O (10 μL in 990 μL H₂O). All these solutions were analyzed by the UPLC-UV method (using the calibration curve) allowed us to determine the actual concentration in each sample and to compare the corresponding RES solubility in both NADES/PCW and DMSO (supplementary Fig. S1).

2.4 Cell culture

A TNF-α activated human leukemia cell line (THP-1) was used as a source of active MMP-9 production. In the aim to assess the MMP-9-inhibitory activity of RES, RES was dissolved in two kinds of solvents, NADES/PCW and DMSO. The cytotoxic activity of different compounds was assessed using the MTT assay on different cell types, including primary human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC), human leukemia cell line (THP-1), the human brain micro-vascular endothelial cell line (hcMEC/D3) was derived from primary human temporal lobe micro-vessels (immortalized with a lentiviral vector transduction with the catalytic subunit of human telomerase (hTERT) and SV40 large T antigen [39] as well as other cell lines including the human pancreatic adenocarcinoma BxPC3, and the human breast adenocarcinoma MDA MB-231. Both THP-1 and BxPC3 were cultured in RPMI 1640, whereas MDA MB-231 was cultured in DMEM medium, and both media were supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, penicillin G 100 units/ml and streptomycin 100 μg/mL purchased from Fisher Scientific™ (Ilkirch-Graffenstaden, France). HUVEC were maintained in EndoGro™ low-serum culture media kit purchased from Merck Millipore™ (Paris, France) and hcMEC/D3 were maintained in EBM-2 MLV™ culture media kit purchased from Lonza (Basel, Switzerland).
2.5 Inhibition of the MMP-9 gelatinolytic activity

In the presence of TNF-α 10 ng/mL, THP-1 monocytes were seeded at 3 x 10^5 cell/mL in 24-well plate and were incubated with different concentrations of RES (1, 2, 4, 8, 10, 20, and 30 μM) dissolved either in DMSO or NADES/PCW. Different THP-1 controls were prepared by incubating cells with each solvent vehicle (0.2% DMSO or 0.2% NADES/PCW) in RPMI cell culture medium at a final concentration of 0.2% DMSO or 0.2% NADES/PCW, in the presence or in the absence of TNF-α. After 24 h of cell culture in these conditions, 1 mL of supernatants were collected and centrifuged at 1 200 rpm at 25°C for 5 min before their storage at -80°C up to the zymogram analysis.

2.6 Zymography

The gelatinolytic activity of MMP-9 was assessed using a zymography approach as described by Pan and collaborators [25]. Briefly, supernatants were collected as mentioned above and thawed to be electrophoretically separated in a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) containing 1% gelatin in the absence of reducing agents. After the electrophoresis, gels were washed three times in a solution of 2.5% Triton X-100, followed by incubation with a gelatinase buffer (NaCl 200 mM, Tris Base 50 mM, CaCl_2 5mM and ZnCl_2 0.25 mM; pH 7.5) on an orbital shaker at 100 rpm at 37°C for 24 h. Then, gels were stained using the Coomassie Blue-staining solution (0.025% Coomassie Blue, 40% methanol and 10% acetic acid) for 1 h, followed by treatment of distaining solution (glacial acetic acid 10% and methanol 20%), until white bands are formed surrounded by the blue background. Gel bands were photographed and analyzed using GelAnalyzer 2010a™ software.

2.7 MTT cytotoxicity assay

The MTT cytotoxicity assay relies on the reading intensity of the converted formazan blue crystals from tetrazolium dye by living cell, the followed protocol was modified of the protocol recommended by Mosmann [24]. THP-1, BxPC3, MDA-MB 231 and hcMEC/D3 cells were seeded at 5 x 10^3 cells/well in 96-well plate for 24 h. While HUVEC were seeded at 1 x 10^4 cells/well density in the same conditions. Then, supernatants
were discarded and plates were either treated with different concentrations of NADES/PCW, NADES/GCW, NADES/MA or DMSO (0.5, 1, and 2%), or with serial dilutions (10, 20, 40 and 80 μM) of each RES formulation (RES in NADES/PCW or RES in DMSO). Samples were assayed in duplicates for each concentration, and normalized against negative control of each solvent diluted in the corresponding cell culture medium ie., 0.2% NADES (PCW, GCW, MA)-treated or 0.2% DMSO-treated. Subsequently, plates were incubated for additional 72 h in a CO₂ humid chamber at 37°C before replacing the medium by MTT in a serum-free medium and incubated for four additional hours. To dissolve formazan crystals, SDS-HCl 10% was added to each plate and incubated at 37°C for 2 h, the optical density was measured at 570 nm (against the reference, 690 nm) using a TECAN™ plate reader (Paris, France).

2.8 Statistic Analyses

Experimental data from each group were analyzed using GraphPad Prism™ v.5 software. To analyze the differences between NADES/PCW and DMSO treated groups, one-paired Student t-test was carried out. All experiments were done least three times with replicates equal or higher than two. The same test was also applied for the cytotoxicity assay between different groups. Values of \( p \leq 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant.
3. RESULTS

3.1 Toxicity assay of NADES (PCW, GCW, and MA) and DMSO

Some particular NADES including PCW, GCW and MA, preselected for their capacity to solubilize RES (by visualization), were assessed for their cytotoxic effects on either THP-1 (Fig. 2A) monocytes or primary HUVEC (Fig. 2B), using the MTT assay. The results showed that at a 2% NADES/PCW concentration exhibited high deleterious impact on respective THP-1 and HUVEC viability of 71% and 85%, respectively. DMSO decreased the viability of THP-1 and HUVEC to 60% and 80%, respectively. When NADES/PCW or DMSO was assayed at the lower concentration of 0.5%, no toxicity was observed neither against THP-1, nor HUVEC. NADES/GCW exhibited high cell toxicity at 2% that rapidly declined in function of a decreased concentration. In contrast, NADES/MA was extremely toxic at all the tested concentrations for both THP-1 and HUVEC. Since, NADES/PCW and DMSO exhibited the best solubility (supplementary material, Fig. S1) and the lowest cytotoxicity of NADES/PCW (Fig. 2C) and DMSO (Fig. 2D) was extended to other cell types, including BxPC3, hcMec/D3 and MDA MB-231. The highest cytotoxicity effect of NADES/PCW and DMSO was observed at 2% that remained relatively moderated, since the cell observed viability varied from 60% to 81% in these cells (Table 2). In contrast, the cytotoxicity was drastically reduced at the lowest assayed concentration of 0.5%.

3.2 Resveratrol cytotoxicity

To properly assess the cytotoxicity of RES, the final used concentration of solvents, either NADES/PCW or DMSO to prepare RES was 0.2%, at least five-fold reduced concentration as compared with their cytotoxic effect at a concentration of 1% (Fig. 2). These results show that high concentrations (40 and 80 µM) of RES have a similar high cytotoxicity level for both THP-1 monocytes (Fig. 3A) and primary HUVEC (Fig. 3B). In addition, as shown in Table 3, the 50% of lethal concentration (LC50) of both RES formulations for THP-1 and HUVEC were relatively high, as established using GraphPad™ from Prism v.5 software. Indeed, both LC50 values of RES-NADES/PCW and RES-DMSO tested with THP-1 monocytes were close
to 40 µM. While the LC₅₀ of RES-NADES/PCW and RES-DMSO tested with primary HUVEC were similar, respectively 60 and 64 µM. In contrast, for the lower concentrations NADES/PCW and DMSO allowed high cell viability as compared to the negative control cells submitted to RES vehicles.

3.3 Resveratrol formulated with NADES/PCW or DMSO and the MMP-9 activity

The MMP-9-inhibitory activity of RES dissolved in 0.2% NADES/PCW or 0.2% DMSO was assessed on a culture of TNF-α-activated THP-1 monocytes, which shed large amounts of active MMP-9 into the supernatant. Zymography results (Fig. 4A) clearly showed a MMP-9-inhibitory activity of RES. The pixel density analyses of each gel band representing the MMP-9 activity confirmed a decrease of this activity in function of the inhibitory activity of RES. These data were plotted for each concentration of both RES formulations (Fig. 4B). We must stress that a significant increase of the RES-NADES/PCW-MMP-9 inhibitory activity was observed at low RES concentrations up to 1 µM that was more than 10-fold stronger than the limited inhibitory activity of RES-DMSO. Thus, evidencing that the NADES/PCW vehicle was able to confirm and enhance the hormetic mode of action of RES as compared with its limited dose-response activity when dissolved in DMSO.
4. DISCUSSION

Our findings revealed that the selected natural deep eutectic solvent 1,2-Propanediol 1,2: ChCl:water or NADES/PCW (1:1:1) was able to dissolve stalks-extracted RES native pure powder. The UPLC-UV quantification analyses have evidenced that RES was completely soluble in NADES/PCW at a RES-saturated concentration of 20 g/L at 40°C, and that this solubility was similar than the one measured in the RES-DMSO formulation (supporting information file, Fig. S1). Since the NADES/PCW has exhibited both the highest visual solubilizing power and lower cytotoxicity of RES as compared with the two other selected RES solvents NADES/GCW and NADES/MA (Fig. 2), these latter were discarded and only NADES/PCW was used to assess the capacity of RES to inhibit the active form of MMP-9 produced from TNF-α-activated THP-1 monocytes. The individual toxicity of NADES/PCW, DMSO or resveratrol was assessed using the MTT assay. Thus, we observed that both NADES/PCW and DMSO, in the absence of RES were both toxic at the concentration of 2%, as shown in (Table 2). In contrast, at a final concentration of 0.5% of either NADES/PCW or DMSO vehicle alone allowed a high viability rate, close to 100%, of tested cells. In contrast, RES-NADES/PCW and RES-DMSO formulations exhibited similar toxic effects when used at 40 and 80 µM on THP-1 monocytes and HUVEC. Moreover, lethal concentrations able to kill 50% (LD50) of cultivated primary HUVEC were respectively reached at 60 and 64 µM of RES-NADES/PCW and RES-DMSO respectively as compared with LD50 on THP-1 monocytes, which both were reached around 40 µM. These latter values showed that these formulations were less toxic for primary endothelial cells, which are the main physiological targets of the active MMP-9. In addition, independently of the RES formulation, below these concentrations, no toxic effects of RES were observed. These observations are extremely encouraging for the future in vivo experiments.

Since this RES-NADES/PCW was diluted in different cell culture media to proceed to test the biological activity of RES proposed in this work, we have observed that RES dissolved in the NADES/PCW vehicle keep its soluble form for longer durations in all these cultivation media in the absence or the presence of tested cell types as compared with DMSO. Therefore, the RES-NADES/PCW formulation, similarly to RES-DMSO
formulation, is compatible with *in vitro* experiments to evaluate the RES capacity to inhibit active MMP-9 produced by activated monocytes. Using a range of decreasing RES concentrations prepared in both formulations, we have observed that the NADES/PCW as compared with DMSO, resulted in a considerable enhancement by a factor of at least 10-fold of the RES capacity to inhibit the MMP-9 activity. Thus, when using RES a concentration as low as 1 µM with the NADES/PCW formulation, RES was able to reduce MMP-9 activity up to 52% as compared with RES formulated with DMSO, which didn’t reduce this enzymatic activity at all, at this low concentration. These findings contribute to a considerable enhancement of the RES potential therapeutic effects in the context of NADES formulation, since they support that RES could exhibit its optimal effects under hormetic conditions. While, a similar reduction rate of MMP-9 activity induced by RES formulated with DMSO was only observed at concentrations of RES comprised between 10 µM and 20 µM.

Due to the poor bioavailability of RES, Sinclair and colleagues have suggested that a normal human weighing 75 kg would need a daily RES dose close to 100 mg/kg/day [4], which, obviously, is not applicable. Consequently, new derivatives and/or formulations of RES remain as unmet need that must be overcome to reach both acceptable bioavailability and activity of this compound.

This comparative study for the first time the possibility of getting improved drug’s responses at low concentrations, as compared with traditional DMSO assays. We hypothesized that with the NADES formulation, these interesting RES-biological effects were probably due to the fact that NADES/PCW could improve RES bioavailability, diffusion, transport and cell uptake for specific cell compartment localization. In addition, taking into account the considerable number of possible NADES combinations, potentially it would be likely to optimize a particular NADES solvent for RES and any other drug compound for their clinical use at the lowest possible dosage. Since the limitation of the use of DMSO in animal studies is due to its toxicity [14] and although very encouraging results of our work, further investigations (mainly pharmacokinetic properties) are required to be set up in *in vivo* applications assays of RES administration with non-covalent NADES formulations.
5. CONCLUSIONS

Altogether these results show, for the first time, that without altering the cell viability, the formulation of RES dissolved in the particular NADES/PCW solution significantly enhances the RES inhibitory effects on MMP-9 activity of a more than 10-fold factor as compared with its formulation in DMSO. These data evidenced that NADES/PCW is able to enhance the hormetic mode of action of RES to decrease the MMP-9 activity. In addition, NADES are low-cost, simple to prepare, and biodegradable resulting in less toxic “green” compounds. Consequently, we propose that NADES could be use as formulation method that would be considered as a suitable “adjuvant” for druggable compounds, providing major advantages, including biocompatibility, lowered toxicity, solubility, as well as the optimization of their active concentrations for an enhanced biological activity. Therefore, these findings could help to improve the pharmacological activity of RES for preclinical and clinical studies.
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## TABLES

**Table 1.** Components of tested natural deep eutectic solvents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NADES</th>
<th>Compound 1</th>
<th>Compound 2</th>
<th>Compound 3</th>
<th>Molar ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>glycerol</td>
<td>ChCl</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>lactic acid</td>
<td>betaine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>lactic acid</td>
<td>ChCl</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>malic acid</td>
<td>ChCl</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>malic acid</td>
<td>glycine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>malic acid</td>
<td>Proline</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1:3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>malonic acid</td>
<td>ChCl</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>urea</td>
<td>ChCl</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1,2-propanediol</td>
<td>ChCl</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>1:1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>glucose</td>
<td>ChCl</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>1:2.5:2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>glycerol</td>
<td>ChCl</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>2:1:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>glycerol</td>
<td>proline</td>
<td>sucrose</td>
<td>9:4:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>lactic acid</td>
<td>glucose</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>5:1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>lactic acid</td>
<td>proline</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>1:1:0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>malic acid</td>
<td>proline</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>1:1:3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>sucrose</td>
<td>ChCl</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>1:1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>sucrose</td>
<td>ChCl</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>1:4:4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>urea</td>
<td>ChCl</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>2:1:2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Cell viability assay of either NADES/PCW or DMSO in the absence of RES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent 2%</th>
<th>Cells viability (%)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HUVEC</td>
<td>THP-1</td>
<td>hcMec/D3</td>
<td>BxPC3</td>
<td>MDA MB-231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADES/PCW</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. LC50 effect of NADES/PCW- or DMSO-formulated RES on HUVEC and THP-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cells</th>
<th>LC₅₀ (µM)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NADES/PCW</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUVEC</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THP-1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Legends of Figures

Fig. 1. Resveratrol structure and numbering of trans-resveratrol

Fig. 2. *In vitro* cytotoxic effects of different RES solvents: NADES (PCW, GCW and MA) and DMSO. Increasing concentrations 0.5%, 1% and 2% of NADES/PCW, NADES/GCW, NADES/MA or DMSO were incubated with either THP-1 monocytes (A) or primary HUVEC (B) and then submitted to MTT cytotoxicity assay. Subsequently, in the same conditions these data were extended to other three different cell types including BxPC3, hcMec/D3, and MDA MB-231 for solvent having previously shown the lowest cytotoxic effects NADES/PCW (C) and DMSO (D). Values are mean of three experiments ± standard deviation. *P ≤ 0.05, and ***P ≤ 0.001.

Fig. 3. *In vitro* cytotoxic effects of resveratrol formulated in NADES/PCW or DMSO. NADES/PCW or DMSO concentrated at 0.2% was used to dissolve RES. In these Res solubilization conditions, increasing concentrations of 10, 20, 40 and 80 µM RES were submitted to MTT assay to assess their cytotoxic effects on either THP-1 monocytes (A) or primary HUVEC (B). Data were normalized against 0.2% of DMSO or NADES/PCW alone as negative control and 1% SDS was used as positive control in cell culture medium. Values are mean of three experiments ± standard deviation. ***P ≤ 0.001.

Fig. 4. MMP-9-inhibitory effect of RES formulated in NADES/PCW or DMSO. The gelatinolytic activity of MMP-9 was revealed by a gel zymography assay. This assay evidenced that RES exhibit an inhibitory effect on the enzymatic activity of MMP-9 (A). In addition, RES dissolved in NADES/PCW exhibited a higher inhibitory activity of MMP-9 than the RES-DMSO formulation. Data from the pixel image analysis of individual zymography gel band of MMP-9 activity was plotted for each RES concentration (B). Data were normalized against negative control treated with 0.2% NADES/PCW or 0.2% DMSO in RPMI medium. Values are mean of three experiments ± standard deviation. *P ≤ 0.05, **P ≤ 0.01, and ***P ≤ 0.001.
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